

Assessment of hydrologic projections under climate change

Ke-Sheng Cheng Dept. of Bioenvironmental Systems Engineering National Taiwan University



Background

 Aiming to assess the impact of climate change on water resources management/planning and to formulate adaptation strategies, the Water Resources Agency (WRA) initiated a four-year (2010 – 2013) Climate Change Impact and Adaptation program (CCIAP) which is composed of fourteen subprojects.

 Due to the nature of water resources planning and design (for examples, flood prevention and mitigation, inundation mapping, etc.) for which WRA bears the administrative responsibility, it is imperative for CCIAP to consider the impact of climate change on stormwater hydrology which involve rainfalls in local and event scales.



Importance of climate change impacts on stormwater hydrology

- Key factors in water resources management and design related to stormwater hydrology
- duration and 1 depth (e.g. rainfall d Hydrological extremes at site- or regional
- scale in space and event-scale in time

GCMs are more skillful in predicting means (averages) of precipitation or temperature than any higher order statistics. models generally do not yield reliable projections for extreme parameters.



Objectives

- Among a group of CCIAP projects, this project aims to assess the impact of climate change on hydrologic projections.
 - Characteristics of storm rainfall extremes
 - Considering physical storm parameters (number of occurrences, duration, total rainfall depths, etc.)
 - Stochastic modeling of storm occurrences and time variation of rainfall intensities.

A GCM-stochastic model integrated approach



January 16, 2013

Key concerns

- From a hydrological perspective
 - How do we bridge the gap between climate projections and hydrologic projections?
 - Downscaling (spatial and temporal)
 - weather generators (simulating daily precipitations)
 - What statistical properties need to be preserved in downscaled data?
 - Can the downscaled data preserve the spatiotemporal variation of the observed data?
 - Stormwater hydrology involves rainfall characteristics of daily and sub-daily scales.

January 16, 2013

Downscaling of GCM outputs



Statistical Downscaling Model (SDSM)

- Multiple regression model
 - -Large-scale predictors: GCM or NCEP data
 - Local or station predictands: temperature or precipitation (almost exclusively in daily scale)
 - The predictor-predictand correlation is generally low. Predictors having correlation coefficient in the range of 0.13-0.25 are considered to be acceptable when dealing with precipitation downscaling (cf. Wilby et al., 2002).

Weather generator



January 16, 2013

Weather generators

- WGEN (Richardson & Wright, 1984)
 - Dry/wet day transition probability matrix (Markov chain)
 - Exponential/gamma random number generation for wet-day daily rainfall simulation
- LARS-WG (Racsko et al., 1991; Semenov & Barrow, 1997)



January 16, 2013

- Generate daily precipitation series.
- Daily rainfalls are independently generated (serial correlation of daily rainfalls is not considered).
- Statistical property of wet/dry spells are not well preserved.
- Performance evaluation of the models were almost exclusively based on monthly scale statistics. [Monthly mean and standard deviation]

January 16, 2013

Examples of performance evaluation of downscaling techniques.



Comparisons of the observed and the SDSM-estimated month-wise mean daily precipitation and its standard deviation.

Performance of the regression model

(a) Calibration of the regression models for Seville based on 1961–1990 observed data. Variance explained (%)

| | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov Dec |
|---------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|-----------|
| Temp. | 93.8 | 91.3 | 84.5 | 81.9 | 84.9 | 90.3 | 85.4 | 77.9 | 94.0 | 87.4 | 95.6 97.5 |
| Precip. | 82.3 | 84.6 | 65.8 | 81.7 | 77.3 | 68.2 | 20.0 | 64.8 | 54.4 | 67.4 | 79.4 86.5 |

(b) Verification of the regression models for Seville using 1951–1960 observed data. Correlation coefficients between observed data and those predicted by the regression models

| | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec |
|---------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|-------|------|------|
| Temp. | 0.95 | 0.99 | 0.82 | 0.94 | 0.87 | 0.87 | 0.85 | 0.93 | 0.97 | 0.73 | 0.96 | 0.98 |
| Precip. | 0.91 | 0.96 | 0.92 | 0.97 | 0.67 | 0.64 | 0.0 | 0.83 | 0.72 | -0.07 | 0.64 | 0.89 |

January 16, 2013

RSL 1E

• Storm characteristics are not considered in weather generator. Random in nature.

- Frequency and timing of storm occurrences
- Storm duration
- Total rainfall depth of a storm
- Percentages of the total rainfall of individual intervals within the storm duration (dimensionless hyetograph)
- The above characteristics need to be preserved in the downscaled data.
- The way out
 - Stochastic storm rainfall simulation model (SSRSM)



Stochastic storm rainfall simulation model

- A stochastic model capable of representing all the above characteristics of storm rainfall process.
- Physical storm parameters are considered as random variables in the model.
- GCM outputs are used to assess changes in statistical properties of storm parameters under certain climate change scenarios.



January 16, 2013

Stochastic storm rainfall process



Season-specific storm characteristics

| Storm type | Period |
|------------|----------------|
| Frontal | Nov - April |
| Mei-Yu | May - June |
| Convective | July - October |
| Typhoon | July - October |



Climate change scenarios and GCM outputs (Case 1)

- Emission scenario: A1B
- Baseline period: 1980 1999
- Projection period
 - Near future: 2020 2039
 - End of century: 2080 2099
- GCM models: change rates of monthly rainfalls (outputs of 24 GCMs provided by NCDR, statistical downscaling)
 - Hydro-meteorological scenario: extreme situation

January 16, 2013

Changes in monthly rainfalls (Statistical downscaling, Ensemble average with standard deviation adjustment) Taipei area



• A weather generator and ANN coupled algorithm was developed to determine changes in the mean and standard deviation of storm parameters under climate change.

January 16, 2013

An example of changes in means of storm parameters under climate change

| Storm characteristics | | Storm types | | | |
|-----------------------|--------|-------------|------------|---------|--|
| | Mei-Yu | Typhoon | Convective | Frontal | |
| # of events | 1.1 | 1.31 | 1.31 | 0.42 | |
| Duration | 1.04 | 1.36 | 0.99 | 0.68 | |
| Total depth | 1.11 | 1.49 | 1.06 | 0.71 | |
| Inter-event time | 0.93 | 0.89 | 0.86 | 1.5 | |

Projection period / Baseline period

January 16, 2013

Climate change scenarios and GCM outputs (Case 2)

- Emission scenario: A1B
- Baseline period: 1979 2003
- Projection period
 - Near future: 2015 2039
 - End of century: 2075 2099
- GCM model: MRI+WRF dynamic downscaling
- Hydrological scenario: changes in storm characteristics

January 16, 2013

Storm characteristics (average duration of typhoon)



RSL U

Storm characteristics (average event-total rainfalls of typhoon)



Stochastic Storm Rainfall Simulation Model (SSRSM)

- Simulating occurrences of storms and their rainfall rates
 - Preserving seasonal variation and temporal autocorrelation of rainfall process.
- Duration and event-total depth
 - Characterized by a bivariate gamma distribution (typhoons)
- Inter-event times
 - Gamma or log-normal distributions
- Percentage of total rainfalls in individual intervals (Storm hyetographs)
 - Modeled by a first-order Truncated Gamma-Markov process

Simulating occurrences of storm events of various storm types

- -Number of events per year
 - Poisson distribution for typhoon and Mei-Yu
 - Inter-event time
 - Gamma or log-normal distributions

Simulating joint distribution of duration and event-total depth Bivariate gamma distribution (e.g. typhoons) Log-normal-Gamma bivariate Non-Gaussian bivariate distributions were transformed to a corresponding bivariate

standard normal distribution with desired correlation matrix.

January 16, 2013

 $\rho_{XY} \sim \rho_{UV}$ Conversion $\rho_{XY} \approx (A_X A_Y - 3A_X C_Y - 3C_X A_Y + 9C_X C_Y)\rho_{UV}$ $+ 2B_X B_Y \rho_{UV}^2 + 6C_X C_Y \rho_{UV}^3$ $A_X = 1 + \left(\frac{\gamma_X}{6}\right)^4 \qquad B_X = \frac{\gamma_X}{6} - \left(\frac{\gamma_X}{6}\right)^3 \qquad C_X = \frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{\gamma_X}{6}\right)^2$ $A_{Y} = 1 + \left(\frac{\gamma_{Y}}{6}\right)^{4} \qquad B_{Y} = \frac{\gamma_{Y}}{6} - \left(\frac{\gamma_{Y}}{6}\right)^{3} \qquad C_{Y} = \frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{\gamma_{Y}}{6}\right)^{2}$

Bivariate gamma (X,Y)



January 16, 2013



Simulating percentages of total rainfalls in individual intervals (Simulation of storm hyetographs)

-Based on the simple scaling property

- Durations of all events of the same storm types are divided into a fixed number of intervals (e.g. 24 intervals).
- For a specific interval, rainfall percentages of different events are identically and independently distributed (IID).
- Rainfall percentages of adjacent intervals are correlated.

• The simple scaling is supported by Horner equation fitting of the IDF curves.



January 16, 2013

Simple scaling



January 16, 2013

RSL 4

Simulating percentages of total rainfalls in individual intervals (Simulation of storm hyetographs)

-Based on the simple scaling property

- Durations of all events of the same storm types are divided into a fixed number of intervals (e.g. 24 intervals).
- For a specific interval, rainfall percentages of different events are identically and independently distributed (IID).
- Rainfall percentages of adjacent intervals are correlated.

• The simple scaling is supported by Horner equation fitting of the IDF curves.



January 16, 2013

IDF Curves and the Scaling Property

• Horner's Equation:

 $\bar{i}_T(D) = \frac{aT^m}{(D+b)^c}$

 $\bar{i}_T(D) = \lambda^c \bar{i}_T(\lambda D)$

- D >> b , particularly for long-duration events.
- Neglecting b

Simple scaling

$$\bar{i}_T(D) = \lambda^{-H} \bar{i}_T(\lambda D)$$

• C = - H

Hyetograph simulation

- Rainfall percentage of each individual interval is modeled by a truncated gamma distribution. (Rainfall percentage of each individual interval is bounded from above. For example, peak rainfall percentage is less than 40%.)
- ➤ Time-to-peak and peak percentage are simulated firstly.
- Rainfall percentages of neighboring intervals are correlated and can be modeled by a bivariate truncated gamma distribution.
 - 1st order Markov process simulation for rainfall percentages of other intervals.
 - All rainfall percentages sum to 100%.

Rainfall percentage(

January 16, 2013

Lab for Remote Sensing Hydrology and Spatial Modeling Dept. of Bioenvironmental Systems Engineering, NTU^{時間(hr)}

 T_{24}

30

Hyetograph Simulation results (Typhoons)



RSL U

31

Kaoshiung



RSL.4B



Time of storm occurrences

(Duration, total depth) bivariate simulation

first-order Truncated Gamma-Markov simulation

Hourly rainfall





ECDF of Annual Max. Rainfalls Observed data vs simulated data (25 sets of 20-year period) (Baseline period: 1980-1999)



Application of simulation results

- Extreme rainfall assessment
 - Annual maximum rainfall depth
 - Hydrological frequency analysis
- Seasonal rainfall assessment
 - Water resources management



Rainfall of 24-hour, 100-year return period (Statistical Downscaling scenario) in Tanshui River Basin





Baseline period (1980~1999)

Projection period (2020~2039)

January 16, 2013

RSL 1E



Conclusions

- The SSRSM is highly versatile.
 - Can provide rainfall data of different temporal scales (hourly, daily, TDP, monthly, yearly)
 - Can facilitate the data requirements for various applications (disaster mitigation, water resources management and planning, etc.)
 - Based on assumptions of changes in storm
 physical parameters.



January 16, 2013

- Uncertainty is an essential component in all climate change studies.
- Scenario setting is crucial and may be mission-oriented.
 - Be proactive in taking progressive (if possible, no-regret) adaptation measures. Don't be reactive.



• References

Wu, Y.C., Hou, J.C., Liou, J.J., Su, Y.F., <u>Cheng, K.S.</u>, 2012. Assessing the impact of climate change on basin-average annual typhoon rainfalls with consideration of multisite correlation. *Paddy and Water Environment*, DOI 10.1007/s10333-011-0271-5.

Liou, J.J. Su, Y.F., Chiang, J.L., <u>Cheng, K.S.</u>, 2011. Gamma random field simulation by a covariance matrix transformation method. *Stochastic Environmental Research and Risk Assessment*, 25(2): 235 – 251, DOI: 10.1007/s00477-010-0434-8.

<u>Cheng, K.S.</u>, Hou, J.C., Liou, J.J., 2011. Stochastic Simulation of Bivariate Gamma Distribution – A Frequency-Factor Based Approach. *Stochastic Environmental Research and Risk Assessment*, 25(2): 107 – 122, DOI 10.1007/s00477-010-0427-7.

<u>Cheng, K.S.</u>, Hou, J.C., Wu, Y.C., Liou, J.J., 2009. Assessing the impact of climate change on annual typhoon rainfall – A stochastic simulation approach. *Paddy and Water Environment*, 7(4): 333 – 340, DOI 10.1007/s10333-009-0183-9.

<u>Cheng, K.S.</u>, Chiang, J.L., and Hsu, C.W., 2007. Simulation of probability distributions commonly used in hydrologic frequency analysis. *Hydrological Processes*, 21: 51 – 60.



January 16, 2013

Acknowledgements

- Financial supports by the National Science Council, Water Resources Agency, Council of Agriculture of Taiwan.
- GCM outputs provided by NCDR, Taiwan.

各氣候模式月降雨量變化量結果評估

• 評估準則

挑選模擬東亞季風較佳的GCM模式

依據豐枯水期降雨改變率變化挑選GCM模式

方法I:依據東亞季風表現挑選GCM模式

Source: NCDR TCCIP Project

| Mean precipitation of MJ | Mean temperature of JAS | Temperature Variability during MJ | | | |
|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| | | season | | | |
| Mean temperature of MJ | Mean MSLP of JAS | Temperature Variability during JAS | | | |
| | | season | | | |
| Mean MSLP of MJ | Precipitation Variability | Monthly average rainfall distribution | | | |
| | during MJ season | through latitude (25N-40N)averaged | | | |
| | | over (100-160E) | | | |
| Mean precipitation of JAS | Precipitation Variability | | | | |
| | during JAS season | | | | |



RSL 11



符合兩種評估準則之GCM模式

依東亞季風表現挑選GCM模式 依豐枯水期降雨變化挑選模式

水文氣象情境設定評估

- MME_Mean: A1B情境下24個GCMs的系集平均值。
 - MME_SD:24個GCMs的平均值依豐枯水期加減一倍 標準偏差。
- 3M_Mean: 3個較適合台灣GCMs(csiro_mk3_5, ingv_echam, mri_cgcm2_3_2a)的平均值。
- 3M_SD: 將3個較適合台灣GCM的平均值依豐枯水期 加減一倍標準偏差。

月降雨量變化率情境設定

Temporal variation of monthly rainfalls (Dan-Shuei River Watershed)

Temporal variation of TDP flows (Southern Taiwan)

